

# UC Davis

## UC Davis Previously Published Works

### Title

Wheat gene Sr60 encodes a protein with two putative kinase domains that confers resistance to stem rust.

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/20q783k3>

### Journal

The New phytologist, 225(2)

### ISSN

0028-646X

### Authors

Chen, Shisheng  
Rouse, Matthew N  
Zhang, Wenjun  
et al.

### Publication Date

2020

### DOI

10.1111/nph.16169

Peer reviewed

## **Wheat gene *Sr60* encodes a protein with two putative kinase domains that confers resistance to stem rust**

Shisheng Chen<sup>1,2</sup>, Matthew N. Rouse<sup>3</sup>, Wenjun Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Xiaoqin Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Yan Guo<sup>1</sup>, Jordan Briggs<sup>3</sup>, Jorge Dubcovsky<sup>1,4\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Plant Sciences, University of California, Davis, CA95616, USA.

<sup>2</sup> Peking University Institute of Advanced Agricultural Sciences, Weifang, Shandong 261000, China.

<sup>3</sup> USDA-ARS Cereal Disease Laboratory and Department of Plant Pathology, University of Minnesota, St. Paul, MN 55108, USA.

<sup>4</sup> Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Chevy Chase, MD 20815, USA.

Author for correspondence:

Jorge Dubcovsky,

Tel: (530) 752 5159, E-mail: [jdubcovsky@ucdavis.edu](mailto:jdubcovsky@ucdavis.edu)

Received: 18 April 2019

Accepted: 28 August 2019

Jorge Dubcovsky ORCID: 0000-0002-7571-4345

Matt Rouse ORCID: 0000-0001-7763-8203

Jordan Briggs ORCID: 0000-0002-8828-7143

Wenjun Zhang: ORCID: 0000-0002-8863-2381

Shisheng Chen ORCID: 0000-0002-8617-4356

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process, which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as doi: 10.1111/nph.16169

This article is protected by copyright. All rights reserved.

## Summary

- Wheat stem rust, caused by *Puccinia graminis* Pers. f. sp. *tritici* (*Pgt*), is a devastating fungal disease threatening global wheat production. Here, we report the identification of stem rust resistance gene *Sr60*, a race-specific gene from diploid wheat *Triticum monococcum* L. that encodes a protein with two putative kinase domains. This gene, designated *WHEAT TANDEM KINASE 2* (*WTK2*), confers intermediate levels of resistance to *Pgt*.
- *WTK2* was identified by map-based cloning and validated by transformation of a ~10-kb genomic sequence including *WTK2* into susceptible common wheat variety Fielder (*T. aestivum* L.).
- Transformation of Fielder with *WTK2* was sufficient to confer *Pgt* resistance. *Sr60* transcripts were transiently upregulated one day after *Pgt* inoculation, but not in mock-inoculated plants. The upregulation of *Sr60* was associated with stable upregulation of several pathogenesis-related genes.
- The *Sr60*-resistant haplotype found in *T. monococcum* was not found in polyploid wheat, suggesting an opportunity to introduce a novel resistance gene. We successfully introgressed *Sr60* into hexaploid wheat and developed a diagnostic molecular marker to accelerate its deployment and pyramiding with other resistance genes. The cloned *Sr60* can also be a useful component of transgenic cassettes including other resistance genes with complementary resistance profiles.

**Key words:** Wheat, *Triticum monococcum*, stem rust, resistance genes, *Sr60*, kinase proteins

## Introduction

Wheat is a major source of calories and proteins for the human population. To achieve the increases in global wheat production required to feed a rapidly growing population, it is important to minimize yield losses generated by rapidly evolving fungal pathogens. Among these pathogens, *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici* (henceforth *Pgt*), the causal agent of wheat stem rust, is of particular concern. The recent appearance and spread of Ug99 (*Pgt* race TTKSK) and its variants (henceforth Ug99 race group), resulted in extensive yield losses in Africa and has recently expanded to the Arabic Peninsula and Iran (Nazari *et al.*, 2009; Singh *et al.*, 2015; Patpour *et al.*, 2016). Unfortunately, the Ug99 race group is not the only problem. A *Pgt* race unrelated to Ug99 called TKTTF was reported in Africa in 2013 (Olivera *et al.*, 2015) and a similar race was detected in outbreaks in Germany (Olivera *et al.*, 2017). Epidemics of stem rust have been recently reported in Sicily (Bhattacharya, 2017) and *Pgt* was detected in the UK after being absent for nearly six decades (Lewis *et al.*, 2018). These reports have prompted efforts to identify and isolate more *Sr* resistance genes, to combine them into wheat cultivars and to develop transgenic cassettes including multiple and diverse resistance genes.

Only ten of the 60 officially named stem rust resistance genes (Singh *et al.*, 2015; Chen *et al.*, 2018a) have been cloned so far and eight of them are race-specific genes encoding coiled-coil nucleotide-binding leucine-rich repeat (NLR) proteins. These eight genes include *Sr35* (Saintenac *et al.*, 2013), *Sr33* (Periyannan *et al.*, 2013), *Sr50* (Mago *et al.*, 2015), *Sr22* (Steuernagel *et al.*, 2016), *Sr45* (Steuernagel *et al.*, 2016), *Sr13* (Zhang *et al.*, 2017), *Sr21* (Chen *et al.*, 2018b) and *Sr46* (Arora *et al.*, 2018). Only the slow-rusting multi-pathogen resistance genes *Sr57/Lr34* (Krattinger *et al.*, 2009) and *Sr55/Lr67* (Moore *et al.*, 2015), encode different types of proteins, including a putative ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter and a hexose transporter, respectively.

The cloning of *Sr* resistance genes has contributed to the development of diagnostic markers and has accelerated the pyramiding and deployment of these resistance genes in wheat-breeding programs. However, a more extended set of genes is desirable to diversify the resistance gene pyramids and to extend their durability. Wheat wild and cultivated relatives are valuable sources of new stem rust resistance genes. For example, the diploid wheat species *Triticum monococcum* (genome A<sup>m</sup>) has contributed several *Pgt* resistance genes including *Sr21*, *Sr22* and *Sr35*, which have been cloned and transferred into polyploid wheat (Gerechter-Amitai *et al.*, 1971; The, 1973; McIntosh *et al.*, 1984; Saintenac *et al.*, 2013; Steuernagel *et al.*, 2016; Chen *et al.*, 2018b). *T. monococcum* chromosome segments can be transferred to hexaploid wheat using the presence of the *Pairing homeologous 1* mutation (*ph1b*) (Dubcovsky *et al.*, 1995).

Three additional *Sr* genes (*SrTm4*, *SrTm5* and *Sr60*) have been mapped in *T. monococcum*, but have not been cloned or transferred to hexaploid wheat so far (Rouse & Jin, 2011a; Briggs *et al.*, 2015; Chen *et al.*, 2018a). *Sr60*, discovered in *T. monococcum* accession PI 306540, is effective against races QFCSC, QTHJC and SCCSC. This gene was mapped on the distal region of chromosome arm 5A<sup>m</sup>S, within a 0.44 cM region that does not include typical NLR genes in the orthologous genomic region in Chinese Spring (Chen *et al.*, 2018a).

In this study, we report the positional cloning of the wheat stem rust resistant gene *Sr60*, which is induced transiently one day after inoculation with *Pgt* race QFCSC. *Sr60* encodes a protein including two putative kinase domains that is sufficient to confer resistance to stem rust in transgenic wheat plants. We identified a single *Sr60*-resistant haplotype in *T. monococcum* that was not detected in any of the accessions of *T. urartu*, *T. turgidum* subsp. *dicoccoides*, *T. turgidum* subsp. *dicoccon*, *T. turgidum* subsp. *durum* and *T. aestivum* tested so far. This result suggests that *Sr60* is a novel source of resistance to stem rust for durum and bread wheat. To accelerate the deployment of this gene, we backcrossed a small *T. monococcum* chromosome segment including *Sr60* into a high-yielding hard spring wheat.

## Materials and Methods

### Segregating populations and stem rust assays

A high-resolution genetic map of *Sr60* was constructed using 4,046 segregating gametes from two crosses between diploid wheat lines (3,854 from PI 306540 × G3116 and 192 from PI 306540 × PI 272557). From the second population we selected two F<sub>5</sub> lines, one homozygous for the susceptible *Sr60* allele (TmS57-57) and the other homozygous for the resistant allele (TmR57-32), and both lacking *Sr21*, *SrTm4* and *SrTm5* (Chen *et al.*, 2018a).

*Sr60* was previously reported to be effective against *Pgt* races QFCSC, QTHJC and SCCSC, but not against TTKSK, TTKST, MCCFC, TRTTF, TTTTF, and TKTTF (Chen *et al.*, 2018a). Isolates and their virulence / avirulence profiles are presented in Table S1. During the last decade, QFCSC has been the predominant *Pgt* race in the U.S. (Long *et al.*, 2010; Jin *et al.*, 2014). Race SCCSC was first identified in Idaho, and has virulence to resistance gene *Sr9e*, which contributes towards stem rust resistance in durum wheat (Long *et al.*, 2010). Race QTHJC was detected in of states of Alabama and North Dakota in 1997 (McVey *et al.*, 2002).

Plants with recombination events in the candidate gene region were challenged with *Pgt* race QFCSC at the USDA-ARS Cereal Disease Laboratory according to previously described methods (Rouse & Jin, 2011b). Evaluations were performed at 25 °C during the day and 22 °C during the night with a 16 h photoperiod. Infection types (ITs) were recorded 12–14 days after inoculation (dpi). The image analysis software ASSESS v.2 was used to quantify the average sporulation areas as reported previously (Lamari, 2008). The fungal infection area at 5 dpi (visualized by a Zeiss Discovery V20 fluorescent dissecting scope) and the amount of fungal DNA relative to host DNA were used to compare the growth of the *Pgt* pathogen in the presence and absence of *Sr60* using methods described before (Zhang *et al.*, 2017).

### **Non-arrayed BAC library construction, screening and sequence annotation**

A non-arrayed Bacterial Artificial Chromosome (BAC) library from the resistant parent PI 306540 was constructed using the BAC-MAPS pooling technology (Amplicon Express Inc., <http://ampliconexpress.com/>). The average clone size of this non-arrayed library was 120 kb and its coverage was roughly five genome equivalents. Individual BAC clones were identified by PCR of increasingly diluted library samples and DNAs of the selected clones were extracted using QIAGEN Large-Construct Kit (Qiagen, CA, USA). BAC clones were sequenced with WideSeq (<https://purdue.ilabsolutions.com/landing/808>). Gaps were filled by Sanger sequencing and contiguous sequences were generated using Galaxy (Bankevich *et al.*, 2012; Afgan *et al.*, 2016). The *Triticeae* Repeat Sequence Database was used to identify repetitive elements (<http://wheat.pw.usda.gov/ITMI/Repeats/blastrepeats3.html>). The coding regions were annotated using the IWGSC Chinese Spring RefSeq v1.1 annotation ([https://urgi.versailles.inra.fr/download/iwgs/IWGSC\\_RefSeq\\_Annotations/v1.1/](https://urgi.versailles.inra.fr/download/iwgs/IWGSC_RefSeq_Annotations/v1.1/)), BLASTN/BLASTX searches in GenBank (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>), and expression databases for *T. monococcum* (Fox *et al.*, 2014), and polyploid wheat (<https://wheat.pw.usda.gov/WheatExp/>).

### **Full-length cDNA and 5' and 3' RACE of *Sr60* candidate gene**

Total RNAs were extracted from leaves of resistant parent PI 306540 using the Spectrum™ Plant Total RNA Kit (Sigma-Aldrich). Rapid amplification of cDNA ends (RACE) was performed using the Invitrogen™ FirstChoice™ RLM-RACE Kit (Catalog number AM1700, Invitrogen). The amplification products from 3' and 5'RACE reactions were cloned using the TOPO™ TA Cloning™ Kit (Invitrogen). Fifty colonies per reaction were sequenced by Sanger sequencing.

### qRT-PCR analysis

Plants were grown in growth chambers at 25°C during the day and 22°C during the night with a photoperiod of 16 h. Plants were mock inoculated or inoculated with *Pgt* race QFCSC using previously published procedures (Rouse & Jin, 2011a). Samples from different plants were collected immediately before inoculation (0 h) and one, three, five and six days post inoculation (dpi). Total RNAs were extracted from leaves using the Spectrum™ Plant Total RNA Kit (Sigma-Aldrich). RNase-Free DNase RQ1 (Promega) was used to remove DNAs from RNA samples. First strand cDNA was synthesized using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems). Fast SYBR GREEN Master Mix and an ABI 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) were used to perform qRT-PCR reactions. Primers used to evaluate the transcript levels of the *Sr60* candidate gene are listed in Table S2, whereas primers used to quantify the expression of pathogenesis-related (*PR*) genes (*PR1*, *PR2*, *PR3*, *PR4*, *PR5* and *PR9 = TaPERO*) were described before (Zhang *et al.*, 2017). Transcript levels were expressed as fold-*ACTIN* levels using the formula  $2^{(Actin\ CT - Target\ CT)}$  (Pearce *et al.*, 2013).

### Wheat transformation

Restriction sites *XhoI* and *SpeI* were added to primers SR60TransF1 and SR60TransR2 (Table S2) for cloning the complete genomic region including *Sr60*. We cloned a 9,910-bp fragment from PI 306540 BAC clone Tm7588 using Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (Catalog number M0530S, New England BioLabs Inc.). This fragment contains the complete *Sr60* coding region and introns (5,008 bp), as well as 2,403 bp upstream of the start codon and 2,499 bp downstream of the stop codon. We cloned the fragment into the *XhoI* / *SpeI* linearized binary vector pLC41Hm. *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated wheat transformation with this construct was carried out at plant transformation facility of UC Davis (<http://ucdptf.ucdavis.edu/>).



PCR primers HptmikiF/R and SR60F2/R2 (Table S2) were used to validate the presence of the transgene. qRT-PCR primers SR60RTF2/R2 (Table S2) were used to estimate *Sr60* transcript levels in T<sub>0</sub> transgenic plants using *ACTIN* as the endogenous control. Twenty-five T<sub>1</sub> transgenic plants were inoculated with *Pgt* race QFCSC in a growth chamber at 25°C during the day and 22°C during the night (the whole experiment was replicated twice). Copy number of *Sr60* insertions in each transgenic event was estimated based on the segregation ratio of T<sub>1</sub> plants and using a TaqMan copy number assay (Diaz *et al.*, 2012; Zhang *et al.*, 2017).

### Haplotype analysis

The *Sr60* candidate gene was sequenced in 47 *T. monococcum* accessions including PI 306540, PI 277131-2, PI 272557 and G3116. Infection types of these accessions to *Pgt* races TRTTF, TTKSK, TTTTF, QFCSC and MCCFC were reported before (Rouse & Jin, 2011b), and confirmed with race QFCSC for this study. Diagnostic markers from cloned genes *Sr35* (Saintenac *et al.*, 2013), *Sr22* (Steuernagel *et al.*, 2016) and *Sr21* (Chen *et al.*, 2018b) were used to determine the presence or absence of these genes. Gene postulation was based upon infection types and genotypes from these gene diagnostic markers. We tested the presence of the cloned *Sr60* gene with the diagnostic marker *Sr60F2R2* in 104 *T. monococcum*, 63 *T. urartu*, 49 *T. turgidum* ssp. *dicoccoides*, 89 *T. turgidum* ssp. *dicoccon*, 94 *T. turgidum* ssp. *durum* and 43 *T. aestivum* accessions.

### Introgression of *Sr60* in hexaploid wheat

To introgress the distal region of chromosome arm 5A<sup>m</sup>S including *Sr60* (Chen *et al.*, 2018a), we crossed PI 306540 (A<sup>m</sup>A<sup>m</sup>) with *T. turgidum* ssp. *durum* wheat variety Kronos (AABB, released by Arizona Plant Breeders in 1992). The triploid F<sub>1</sub> was crossed with UC Davis hard white spring common wheat variety Clear White and the resulting F<sub>1</sub> was backcrossed five times to the recurrent hard red spring breeding line UC12014-36 (UC1110/UC1037<sup>*Gpc-B1*, *2NS*, *Glu-D1d*</sup>). The presence of the introgressed *T. monococcum* segment was followed during the

backcrossing using molecular markers developed in a previous study (Chen *et al.*, 2018a). The BC<sub>5</sub>F<sub>1</sub> plant was self-pollinated and BC<sub>5</sub>F<sub>2</sub> plants homozygous for the introgressed *T. monococcum* segment were selected using molecular markers. Progeny of the BC<sub>5</sub>F<sub>2</sub> plants were evaluated with race QFCSC to validate the presence/absence of *Sr60*. Kernel hardness was tested using a Single Kernel Characterization System (SKCS) at the California Wheat Commission Milling and Baking Lab (<http://www.californiawheat.org/milling/>).

## Results

### Resistance response of *Sr60*

*Sr60* conferred intermediate levels of resistance (IT = 2–22+) to *Pgt* races QFCSC, QTHJC and SCCSC (Fig. 1a). Smaller sporulation areas were observed at 14 dpi in the plants carrying *Sr60* than in the plants without this gene ( $P < 0.001$ ; Fig. 1a). The average *Pgt* infection areas at 5 dpi using microscopy and fluorescent staining (Fig. 1b) were also significantly smaller in the lines with *Sr60* than in those without this gene ( $P < 0.001$ ). In addition, the borders of the infected areas in the lines without *Sr60* showed a diffuse network of expanding hyphae, whereas those in the lines with *Sr60* were denser, suggesting that the hyphal growth was facing opposition from the host (Fig. 1b). Finally, we quantified the progression of the *Pgt* infection by measuring the ratio of *Pgt* DNA relative to wheat DNA. During the first two days after inoculation, fungal growth was negligible and no differences were detected between lines with and without *Sr60*. By contrast, significant differences were detected at 3 dpi ( $P < 0.001$ ), 5 dpi ( $P < 0.001$ ) and 6 dpi ( $P < 0.001$ ), with slower growth detected in the lines carrying *Sr60* than in those without the gene (Fig. 1c). Since the presence of *Sr60* delayed but did not stop the *Pgt* infection, the resistance conferred by this gene was classified as partial resistance.

## High-resolution genetic and physical maps of the *Sr60* region

In the previous study, we mapped *Sr60* within a 0.44 cM interval delimited by markers CJ942731 and GH724575 and completely linked to *LRRK123.1* in the distal region of chromosome arm 5A<sup>m</sup>S (Chen *et al.*, 2018a). Using the Chinese Spring reference genome (RefSeq v1.0), we determined that the two flanking markers define a region of 436.4 kb that contains 16 annotated genes (*TraesCS5A02G004500*-*TraesCS5A02G006000*, Fig. 2a). We developed five new markers in the region (Fig. 2b, Table S2) and mapped *Sr60* completely linked to markers *ucw530* and *ucw540*, within a 0.13 cM (74.5 kb) interval delimited by markers *ucw510* and *ucw550* (Fig. 2b). Flanking marker *ucw510* is located within an oxidoreductase gene (*SRG1*) that is not annotated in RefSeq v1.0 (it has a premature stop codon in CS), and the other flanking marker *ucw550* is located within gene *TraesCS5A02G005500*.

We used the two completely linked markers and the two closest flanking markers to screen the non-arrayed BAC library of the resistant *T. monococcum* accession PI 306540. Using the proximal flanking marker *ucw510* and the completely linked markers *ucw530* and *ucw540*, we detected two BAC clones designated Tm4266 and Tm7588. An additional BAC (Tm9510) was identified using the distal flanking marker *ucw550* (Fig. 2c). The sequences of BACs Tm7588 and Tm9510 revealed a 13,802-bp segment that was 100% identical, confirming that their ends were overlapping. In summary, these three BACs included both flanking markers and completed the physical map of the *Sr60* candidate region (Fig. 2c, GenBank accession MK629715).

Three complete genes were annotated within the 74.5 kb *T. monococcum* candidate-gene region (Fig. 2d). The first one, is orthologous to *TraesCS5A02G005100* which encodes a hypothetical protein with low identity to any other proteins (<40%). No transcript of this gene was detected in the leaves of *T. monococcum* (three-leaf stage) nor in RNASeq data from CS (WheatExp). These data, together with the absence of polymorphisms between the resistant

parent PI 306540 and the susceptible parents PI 272557 and G3116, suggest that *TraesCS5A02G005100* is an unlikely candidate gene for *Sr60*. The adjacent gene in Chinese Spring, *TraesCS5A02G005200*, is not found in the *T. monococcum* BAC sequences (Fig. S1). This gene has a reverse transcriptase domain and is likely a repetitive element.

The second gene in the *T. monococcum* region is an ortholog of Chinese Spring *TraesCS5A02G005300* and encodes a leucine-rich repeat receptor-like serine/threonine-protein kinase. This gene is similar to Arabidopsis *FEI 1*, which is known to play a role in the regulation of cell wall (Steinwand & Kieber, 2010). This *T. monococcum* gene was designated as *LRRK123.1* in our previous study (Chen *et al.*, 2018a). The predicted LRRK123.1 protein showed two amino acid polymorphisms between PI 306540 and PI 272557 (T395M and T484A; BLOSUM62 scores = -1 and 0, respectively), but none between PI 306540 and G3116. Since the population generated from the last two accessions segregated for *Sr60* resistance, *LRRK123.1* is an unlikely candidate for *Sr60*.

The third gene in *T. monococcum* is an ortholog of Chinese Spring *TraesCS5A02G005400*, which encodes a protein with two putative kinase domains in tandem, and is designated here as *WHEAT TANDEM KINASE 2* (*WTK2*). Sequencing of the complete *WTK2* region in the susceptible parents revealed a deletion of four amino acids (SRAR at positions 714-717) in both PI 272557 and G3116 relative to the resistant accession PI 306540. In addition, G3116 showed the insertion of a retrotransposon in the fourth exon, providing further evidence that this gene is not functional in G3116.

We then compared the *Sr60* region in PI 306540 with the recently released genomes of tetraploid wheat Zavitan WEWSeq v1.0 (Avni *et al.*, 2017) and hexaploid wheat Chinese Spring RefSeq v1.0 (Appels *et al.*, 2018). This comparison showed that the genes within the candidate region are well conserved with the exception of *WTK2*, which is completely deleted in Zavitan and has a deletion including the last two exons in Chinese Spring (Fig. 2e and Fig. S1). This result is consistent with the absence of *Sr60* resistance in these two accessions.

Finally, we sequenced *T. monococcum* accession PI 277131-2 previously postulated to carry *Sr60* (Rouse & Jin, 2011a) and confirmed the presence of a gene 100% identical to *WTK2* in PI 306540. Taken together, these results suggested that *WTK2* was the best candidate for *Sr60* among the genes detected within the 74.5 kb candidate gene region in *T. monococcum*.

### ***WTK2* gene structure and phylogenetic analysis**

We compared a full-length complementary DNA (cDNA) of *WTK2* with the corresponding genomic sequence and determined that this gene has nine exons. *WTK2* spans 5,008 bp from the starting ATG to the termination TAG, with a complete coding sequence of 2,175 bp (Fig. 2e). Using 5' RACE, we identified the transcriptional start of *WTK2* 803 bp upstream from the start codon. The 5'-untranslated region (UTR) included one or two introns depending on the alternative splice forms (see alternative splicing section). Using 3' RACE, we determined that the 3' UTR is 255 bp long without any introns (Fig. S2).

The predicted *WTK2* protein is 724 amino acids long and contains two putative protein kinase domains. The KinI and KinII domains of *WTK2* have both eight conserved residues G<sup>52</sup>, K<sup>72</sup>, E<sup>91</sup>, H<sup>158</sup>, H<sup>164</sup>, D<sup>166</sup>, N<sup>171</sup> and D<sup>184</sup> found in functional plant protein kinase domains (Table S3) (Hanks *et al.*, 1988; Klymiuk *et al.*, 2018) suggesting that they may be functional kinases. However, since we have not demonstrated kinase activity, we will refer to these domains as putative kinase domains hereafter.

A neighbor-joining (NJ) analysis was performed to compare these two putative kinase domains to 184 putative kinase or pseudokinase domains used in a previous study of the WHEAT TANDEM KINASE 1 (*WTK1*) protein encoded by the stripe rust resistance gene *Yr15* (Klymiuk *et al.*, 2018). The kinase domain I (KinI) of *WTK2* was grouped together with HORVU6Hr1G025940.2 K1 from *Hordeum vulgare* and TraesCS5B02G005400.3 K1 from *Triticum aestivum* (Fig. S3), which were classified within the LRR\_8B group (cysteine-rich kinases) by Klymiuk *et al.* (2018). The kinase domain II (KinII) of *WTK2* was most similar

to PGSC0003DMP400002294 K2 from *Solanum tuberosum* L. (Fig. S3), which was in an intermediate position between the cell-wall-associated kinases (WAK) and the concanavalin A-like lectin protein kinases (L-LPK) (Klymiuk *et al.*, 2018). No other plant proteins were found outside the Triticeae with similar levels of similarity to both putative kinase domains, suggesting that WTK2 is the result of a relatively recent fusion of an LRR\_8B and a WAK (or L-LPK) kinase domain. The phylogenetic tree in Fig. S3 shows that the KinI domain of WTK2 is located in a branch including the kinase domains of the barley stem rust resistance gene *Rpg1* (Brueggeman *et al.*, 2002), but the KinII domain of WTK2 was not related to the *Rpg1* kinases, suggesting a different origin.

### **WTK2 confers resistance to stem rust**

To test if *WTK2* was sufficient to confer resistance to *Pgt*, we cloned a 9,910-bp genomic fragment encompassing the complete *Sr60* coding region, introns and regulatory elements. The construct was transformed into the susceptible wheat cultivar Fielder via *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated transformation. We generated ten independent transgenic events, all of which showed expression of the transgene (Fig. S4). We genotyped roughly 50 T<sub>1</sub> plants from each transgenic event and five of them (T<sub>1</sub>*Sr60*-001, T<sub>1</sub>*Sr60*-004, T<sub>1</sub>*Sr60*-005, T<sub>1</sub>*Sr60*-007 and T<sub>1</sub>*Sr60*-009) showed significant departures from a 3:1 segregation ratio (Table S4), which indicated the presence of more than one copy of *WTK2*. Copy number was further estimated by TaqMan copy number assay (Table S4). Overall, three of the transgenic events (T<sub>1</sub>*Sr60*-001, T<sub>1</sub>*Sr60*-004 and T<sub>1</sub>*Sr60*-007) were estimated to have two copies of the transgene, and the other two (T<sub>1</sub>*Sr60*-005 and T<sub>1</sub>*Sr60*-009) four *WTK2* copies. The expression levels in the different T<sub>0</sub> transgenic plants were significantly correlated with copy numbers estimated from TaqMan assay ( $R = 0.97$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ).

We prioritized transgenic families T<sub>1</sub>*Sr60*-005 and T<sub>1</sub>*Sr60*-009 that showed the highest transcript levels and copy numbers. We inoculated 25 T<sub>1</sub> plants from each transgenic family and 25 from the non-transgenic Fielder control with *Pgt* race QFCSC. All transgenic plants

displayed intermediate levels of resistance, whereas all Fielder plants displayed susceptible reactions (Fig. 3a). Measures of average pustule size in twelve independent plants at 14 dpi showed significantly smaller (~7-fold) sporulation areas ( $P < 0.0001$ , Fig. 3b) in the transgenic plants than in the Fielder control.

The ratio of *Pgt* DNA to wheat DNA in Fielder and transgenic family T<sub>1</sub>Sr60-009 (Fig. S5) was similar until one dpi, but became significantly lower in the transgenic plant at 3, 5 and 6 dpi (Fig. S5), similar to the *T. monococcum* time course in Fig. 1c. The pathogen/host DNA ratio at 6 dpi was lower in Fielder (ratio = 1.1) than in the susceptible *T. monococcum* (ratio = 2.6), but this may simply reflect the higher DNA content per nucleus in hexaploid Fielder than in diploid *T. monococcum* (~3-fold). The difference in DNA content does not affect the comparison between the DNA ratios in the susceptible and resistant controls within each species. Whereas this ratio is  $2.6/1.3 = 2$ -fold higher in the susceptible than in the resistant diploid wheat, it is  $1.1/0.2 = 5.5$ -fold higher in the susceptible Fielder than in the transgenic plants. The more effective resistance in the transgenic plants than in the natural resistance *T. monococcum* plants may reflect the higher number of resistance genes present in the selected transgenic family.

To test if one copy of the *Sr60* transgene was sufficient to confer resistance, we analyzed transgenic families T<sub>1</sub>Sr60-010 and T<sub>1</sub>Sr60-001 segregating for one and two independent transgenic copies, respectively (Table S4). The presence of the *Sr60* transgene was determined with PCR primers Sr60F2/R2 and the level of resistance was estimated by measuring sporulation area in leaves inoculated with race QFCSC. The average sporulation area in lines carrying a *WTK2* transgene was 60% smaller than the combined lines without the transgene. The differences were significant both for family T<sub>1</sub>Sr60-010 and T<sub>1</sub>Sr60-001 ( $P < 0.0001$ ). Since plants carrying one or more functional copies of the transgene were more resistant than the susceptible Fielder control and the sister lines without the transgene (Fig. S6), we concluded that one copy of the *Sr60* transgene was sufficient to confer resistance to *Pgt*.



Finally, we inoculated transgenic plants from family T<sub>1</sub>Sr60-009 (segregating for four independent transgenic copies) with races TTTTF and MCCFC that are virulent on *Sr60* in *T. monococcum* and QFCSC that is avirulent. T<sub>1</sub>Sr60-009 was clearly resistant to race QFCSC (Fig. 3), but susceptible to races MCCFC and TTTTF (Fig. S7). These results suggest that the transgene has a similar resistance profile as the natural *Sr60* gene.

### Expression pattern of *WTK2*

Transcript levels of *WTK2* relative to *ACTIN* were analyzed in *Sr60*-resistant *T. monococcum* line TmR57-32 (diploid) and *Sr60*-transgenic family T<sub>1</sub>Sr60-005 (hexaploid) by qRT-PCR.

The basal transcript levels in transgenic family T<sub>1</sub>Sr60-005 were 2.5-fold higher than in *T. monococcum* line TmR57-32. As expected, no significant differences were detected between QFCSC-inoculated and mock-inoculated plants at the time of inoculation (0 h, Fig. S8).

However, at 1 dpi *WTK2* transcript levels were significantly higher ( $P < 0.001$ ) in *Pgt*-inoculated plants than in mock-inoculated plants both in the *Sr60*-resistant *T. monococcum* (3.7-fold increase) and T<sub>1</sub>Sr60-005 (2.5-fold increase, Fig. S8). In both species, this increase was transient and disappeared at later time points (3, 5 and 6 dpi). At these later time points, *WTK2* transcript levels became significantly lower in *Pgt*-inoculated than in mock-inoculated plants in *T. monococcum* (Fig. S8a). Although *WTK2* transcript levels also decreased in the transgenic hexaploid line after the peak at 1 dpi, they remained at similar levels as those in the mock-inoculated plants (Fig. S8b). These results suggest that *WTK2* is transiently induced by the presence of *Pgt*.

Sequences from the 5' RACE reactions showed the presence of four different alternative splicing forms at the 5' UTR region of *WTK2*, designated hereafter as *WTK2*-1, *WTK2*-2, *WTK2*-3 and *WTK2*-4. Transcript levels of the four alternative splicing forms were evaluated using four isoform-specific qRT-PCR primers described in Table S2, and all four showed similar levels of transient induction at 1 dpi with race QFCSC (Fig. S9).



### Transcript levels of *pathogenesis-related (PR)* genes

To evaluate the potential downstream genes involved in the *Sr60*-mediated resistance, transcript levels of six *PR* genes were analyzed in *Pgt*-inoculated and mock-inoculated resistant *T. monococcum* plants (line TmR57-32) at 1, 3, and 5 dpi (Fig. S10). Transcript levels of *PR1*, *PR4* and *PR5* were significantly higher ( $P < 0.05$ , Table S5) in *Pgt*-inoculated plants than in mock-inoculated controls at all three sampling times. Transcript levels of *PR2* and *PR9* were also significantly higher ( $P < 0.01$ , Table S5) in *Pgt*-inoculated than in mock-inoculated plants but only at 1 dpi. Finally, there was no significant difference in the transcript levels of *PR3* in the resistant *T. monococcum* plants between *Pgt*-inoculated and mock-inoculated plants. These results suggest that the rapid and transient upregulation of *WTK2* is associated with a rapid, significant and more extended upregulation of *PR* genes *PR1*, *PR4* and *PR5* that may contribute to the *Pgt* resistance observed in *Sr60* genotypes (Fig. 1 and S5). .

### Haplotype analysis of *WTK2*

We sequenced the complete *WTK2* gene from 47 *T. monococcum* accessions using four pairs of gene-specific primers (Table S2). Six accessions (PI 277130, PI 277135, PI 306545, PI 306547, PI 428158, and PI 435001) showed the same sequence in the gene region as the resistant lines PI 306540 and PI 277131-2 (GenBank accession MK629715). These accessions showed similar resistance reactions to race QFCSC and were classified as haplotype R1 (Table S6). We also identified eight different susceptible haplotypes, which were designated as S1 - S8 (GenBank accessions MK629708 to MK629714, Table S6). No PCR amplification was detected with any of the *WTK2* primers for haplotype S8, suggesting the presence of a deletion in these accessions. Similarly, *WTK2* was not detected in the reference genome of wild emmer wheat Zavitan (Fig. S1).

Susceptible haplotypes S1 to S7 share a four amino acid deletion at positions 714-717 (SRAR). The susceptible parent PI 272557 and other six accessions were classified as haplotype S1 (MK629708), which differs from the resistance haplotype R1 only by the SRAR deletion (Fig. S11). The susceptible haplotypes S3 (MK629710) and S7 (MK629714) differ from the S1 haplotype by one amino acid change each. The haplotype S2 (G3116, MK629709) includes 19 *T. monococcum* accessions and is likely a non-functional gene since it is interrupted by the insertion of a retrotransposon in the fourth exon. Haplotypes S5 (MK629712) and S6 (MK629713) are similar to S2 and share eight common changes but differ by some unique changes in S2 and S5 (Fig. S11). Haplotype S4 (MK629711) shares three amino acid changes with haplotypes S5 and S6 but has two unique amino acid changes. Haplotype S6 has a 10-bp deletion in the coding region, which alters the reading frame, modifying the last 12 amino acids.

We designed a dominant marker based on the SRAR polymorphism that differentiates the resistant haplotype from all susceptible haplotypes found so far. PCR amplification with primers SR60F2/R2 (Table S2) at an annealing temperature of 58°C produces a 585-bp fragment only when the resistant haplotype is present, and no specific amplification in all susceptible haplotypes (Fig. S12). Using this marker, we evaluated a collection of 442 wheat accessions, including 104 *T. monococcum* accessions, 63 *T. urartu*, 49 *T. turgidum* ssp. *dicoccoides*, 89 *T. turgidum* ssp. *dicoccon*, 94 *T. turgidum* subsp. *durum* and 43 *T. aestivum*. *WTK2* was detected in *T. monococcum* but not in any of the other wheat species tested in this study (Table S7).

### **Introgression of *WTK2* into hexaploid wheat.**

The introgression of *WTK2* from *T. monococcum* accession PI 306540 into common wheat breeding line UC12014-36 (Fig. 4a) was followed during five backcrosses using CAPs marker *DK722976F5R5* (digested with *HhaI*) and the diagnostic marker *Sr60F2R2*. We also used molecular markers to confirm that parental *Pgt* resistance genes *Sr13*, *Sr21*, *SrTm4* and *SrTm5* (Briggs *et al.*, 2015; Chen *et al.*, 2018a) were not present in the selected line.

To characterize the 5A<sup>m</sup> chromosomal translocation into hexaploid wheat, we used 5A SSR markers (Table S8). The physical locations of these markers on CS chromosome arm 5AS were obtained from the reference genome (RefSeq v1.0). Marker *wms443* (11,313,921 bp) showed the *T. monococcum* allele, whereas marker *gwm154* showed the *T. aestivum* allele indicating that the 5A<sup>m</sup>S chromosome segment introgressed into UC12014-36+*Sr60* was between 11.3 Mb and 21 Mb, or 1.6%-2.9% of the length of chromosome 5A (Fig. 4b, Table S8). This *T. monococcum* segment includes two *PUROINDOLINE* genes associated with grain softness (Tranquilli *et al.*, 2002), located 971 kb distal to *Sr60* based on RefSeq v1.0 coordinates. The grains of the UC12014-36 BC<sub>5</sub>F<sub>3</sub> lines carrying *Sr60* were significantly softer ( $P < 0.0001$ ) than those of the sister lines without the *T. monococcum* introgression, and showed no significant differences in kernel weight, diameter and moisture content (Table S9).

Introgression line UC12014-36+*Sr60* exhibited intermediate levels (IT = 2–22+) of resistance to race QFCSC, whereas its sister line lacking *Sr60* showed susceptible reactions (IT = 3) to the same race (Fig. 4c). Average pustule size in UC12014-36+*Sr60* was significantly smaller than in its isogenic sister line UC12014-36 without the *Sr60* introgression ( $P < 0.001$ , Fig. 4c). BC<sub>5</sub>F<sub>3</sub> seeds from the introgression line UC12014-36+*Sr60* were deposited in the U.S. National Plant Germplasm System (National Small Grain Collection) as PI 689563.

## Discussion

### Proteins with two kinase or pseudokinase domains are an emerging class of resistance genes

To protect against pathogen infection, plants have evolved distinct resistance mechanisms including cell surface-localized receptors, intracellular immune receptors and quantitative (broad-spectrum) disease resistance (Jones & Dangl, 2006; Krattinger & Keller, 2016). Most of the race-specific resistance genes in plants identified so far encode NLR proteins, which act as intracellular immune receptors that recognize effector proteins or the modifications

these effectors induce in host proteins, and activate effector-triggered immunity (ETI) (Jones *et al.*, 2016). By contrast, *Sr60* encodes a protein containing two putative kinase domains.

To date, only three plant resistance genes, barley stem rust resistance gene *Rpg1* (Brueggeman *et al.*, 2002), wheat stripe rust resistance gene *Yr15* (Klymiuk *et al.*, 2018), and the proposed candidate for barley true loose smut resistance gene *Un8* (Zang *et al.*, 2015) were found to possess two tandem kinase (or pseudokinase) domains. The previous three genes have been reported to confer a broader-spectrum of resistance than *Sr60*, suggesting that protein structure is not sufficient to predict race specificity.

The kinase domain I of *Yr15* (Klymiuk *et al.* 2018) and the kinase domain II of *RPG1* (Brueggeman *et al.*, 2002) show conserved residues at key amino acids required for kinase function, suggesting that they are functional kinases. The same key residues are more divergent in the other domains of these two proteins, which were classified as pseudokinases (Klymiuk *et al.* 2018). By contrast, the key amino acid residues for kinase function were conserved in both kinase domains in both *Un8* (Zang *et al.*, 2015) and *WTK2* (*Sr60*), suggesting that they may both represent active kinase domains. The phylogenetic analysis of the individual kinase/pseudokinase domains of these four genes indicated that they represent independent domain fusions. A recent study has described 92 kinase/pseudokinase fusions across the plant kingdom (Klymiuk *et al.*, 2018), suggesting that these fusions may be frequent evolutionary events, or may be favored for their involvement in immune responses.

Non-arginine-aspartate (non-RD) kinases, are a subclass of kinases that are often found in association with pattern recognition receptors and are frequently involved in early steps of the innate immune response (Dardick *et al.*, 2012). In non-RD kinases, an uncharged residue replaces the conserved positively charged R residue in the activation loop. One unique characteristic of *WTK2* is the fusion of non-RD (KinI) and RD (KinII) putative kinases. The kinase/pseudokinase domains from *Rpg1*, *Un8* and *WTK1* are all non-RD kinases. Previous

studies showed that some RD kinases are required for activation of non-RD kinases, such as the cooperation between the RD kinase IRAK4 and the non-RD kinase IRAK1 (Dardick *et al.*, 2012). It would be interesting to investigate the mechanism by which an RD and a non-RD kinase interact within the same protein to confer resistance to *Pgt*.

### ***Sr60* is rapidly upregulated and down-regulated after *Pgt* infection**

Alternative splicing is important in the regulation of gene expression and in the responses to biotic or abiotic stresses (Barbazuk *et al.*, 2008; Mastrangelo *et al.*, 2012). Alternative splicing forms have been previously reported in pathogen-resistance genes, such as *Mla* (Halterman *et al.*, 2003), *Lr10* (Sela *et al.*, 2012), *Sr35* (Saintenac *et al.*, 2013), *Sr21* (Chen *et al.*, 2018b) and *Yr15* (Klymiuk *et al.*, 2018), and are also reported here for *Sr60*. However, the four alternative splicing forms detected for *WTK2* are all located in the 5' UTR region and do not differ in transcriptional activation profiles (Fig. S9), suggesting that they may have similar functions.

An interesting characteristic of the *Sr60* expression profile is its rapid transcriptional activation one dpi (Fig. S8). This result indicates that *Sr60* is involved in an early event in the *Pgt* infection. However, when a resistance protein is directly involved in the recognition of a pathogen or a pathogen effector, the recognition does not necessarily affect its transcription profile (unless there is a positive feedback regulatory loop). The NLR stem rust resistance gene *Sr35* (Saintenac *et al.*, 2013) that recognizes the *Pgt* effector AvrSr35 (Salcedo *et al.*, 2017) showed no transcriptional differences between plants inoculated with the pathogen or mock inoculated with water. Similarly, no transcriptional upregulation was detected after pathogen infection for the stripe rust resistance gene *Yr15* (Klymiuk *et al.*, 2018), or the stem rust resistance genes *Sr13* and *Sr21* (Zhang *et al.*, 2017; Chen *et al.*, 2018b). It would be interesting to investigate if *Sr60* transcription is upregulated by a separate protein responsible for the detection of the *Pgt* pathogen.

Two days after its rapid transcriptional upregulation, *Sr60* transcripts returned to basal levels in transgenic hexaploid wheat plants, or to levels that were significantly lower than those in the mock-inoculated plants in *T. monococcum* (Fig. S8). This result, together with the fact that the pathogen is still actively growing in the plant at the time when *Sr60* is downregulated, suggests the possibility of a feedback loop that actively downregulates *Sr60* transcription after the signal that triggers the activation of the immune response is transmitted.

The transient transcriptional upregulation of *Sr60* was also reflected in a transient upregulation of pathogenesis-related genes *PR2* and *PR9*. However, the transcriptional upregulation of *PR1*, *PR4* and *PR5* extended well beyond the *Sr60* upregulation. This result suggests that once *Sr60* transmits its signal (likely by phosphorylation of a downstream target), its presence is no longer required to maintain the activation of this subset of *PR* genes.

The activation of *PR* genes could be associated with the partial resistance response observed for *Sr60*. A similar partial resistance response has been described for *Sr21* and *Sr13*, which is also associated with the activation of *PR* genes. However, in the case of the last two genes, the transcriptional activation of all six *PR* genes remained high (Zhang *et al.*, 2017; Chen *et al.*, 2018b). These results suggest that *Sr60* may operate through a different mechanism than *Sr13* and *Sr21*.

### **Detection and utilization of *Sr60* in agriculture**

A comparison of resistant and susceptible haplotypes of *WTK2* revealed that the presence of the four amino acids SRAR could be used as a diagnostic marker for the presence of the resistant haplotype (Fig. S11). Using a marker based on this polymorphism, we showed that *WTK2* is present in roughly 7.7% of the *T. monococcum* varieties but is absent in all other diploid, tetraploid and hexaploid wheats. This result suggests that the incorporation of *WTK2* has the potential to benefit a wide range of commercial wheat varieties and highlights the benefits of mining new resistance genes outside the primary wheat gene pool.

The value of *Sr60* to increase stem rust resistance in hexaploid wheat was successfully validated by the introgression of this gene into the susceptible common wheat breeding line UC12014-36. However, additional studies will be necessary to test if *Sr60* is effective in different polyploid wheat backgrounds, and to test potential pleiotropic effects. Although the size of the introgressed segment is relatively small (less than 2.9% of the total length of chromosome 5A), the linkage between *Sr60* and the *PUROINDOLIN* genes will affect grain texture in the varieties in which this segment is introgressed (Tranquilli *et al.*, 2002).

Although the increased softness may be an advantage for soft wheats, the linkage between *Sr60* and grain softness should be broken to expand its deployment into hard wheat varieties used for bread. The linkage can be broken by editing loss-of-function mutations in the *PUROINDOLIN* genes using CRISPR-Cas9, which works with good efficiency in wheat (Wang *et al.*, 2014). Alternatively, the two genes can be separated by recombination in the presence of the *ph1b* mutation, which restores normal levels of recombination between the A genome of *T. aestivum* and the A<sup>m</sup> genome of *T. monococcum* (*Ph1*) gene (Dubcovsky *et al.*, 1995).

Finally, the direct incorporation of *Sr60* into transgenic wheat plants would avoid this limitation, and has the additional advantage that multiple resistance genes can be incorporated in the same transgenic cassette. The resistance profile of *Sr60* could complement well the resistance profiles of genes *Sr35* (Zhang *et al.*, 2010), *Sr21* (Chen *et al.*, 2015) and *SrTm5* (Chen *et al.*, 2018a), which are susceptible to race QFCSC but confer resistance to Ug99. The incorporation of *Sr60* to these resistance cassettes can improve resistance to races QFCSC, QTHJC and SCCSC, which were identified in the United States (Dunckel *et al.*, 2015). However, the ineffectiveness of *Sr60* to virulent isolates of the Ug99-race group (Chen *et al.*, 2018a) limits the value of this gene in regions where these new races have been established.

In summary, the identification of *Sr60* and the available diagnostic marker developed in this study can contribute to diversify the stem rust resistance genes deployed in wheat breeding programs. In addition, the unique structure of *Sr60* can provide insights into novel mechanisms of resistance that can diversity our tools against this devastating pathogen.

## **Acknowledgements**

Work at JD laboratory was supported by the Howard Hughes Medical Institute and by the Agriculture and Food Research Initiative Competitive Grant 2017-67007-25939 (WheatCAP) from the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture (NIFA). Work at MNR laboratory was supported by USDA-ARS appropriated project 5062-21220-023-00-D, the USDA-ARS National Plant Disease Recovery System, and NRI Competitive Grant 2017-67007-25939 from the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture (NIFA). We are thankful to Dr. Valentina Klymiuk, Dr. Andrew Fatiukha and Dr. Tzion Fahima (Institute of Evolution, University of Haifa) for their help with the analyses of WTK2 kinase domains and to Dr. Josh Hegarty (University of California) for the grain hardness measurement.

## **Author contributions**

SC performed the research, analyzed the data and wrote the first draft. MNR designed the phenotyping experiments. MNR and JB performed the phenotyping experiments. WZ contributed quantification sporulation areas and sequence analyses. YG contributed to the mapping. SC and XZ produced the *Sr60* introgression lines in hexaploid wheat. JD proposed and supervised the project, obtained the funding and generated the final version of the manuscript. All authors revised the manuscript and provided suggestions.



## References

- Afgan E, Baker D, Van den Beek M, Blankenberg D, Bouvier D, Čech M, Chilton J, Clements D, Coraor N, Eberhard C. 2016. The Galaxy platform for accessible, reproducible and collaborative biomedical analyses: 2016 update. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**: W3-W10.
- Appels R, Eversole K, Feuillet C, Keller B, Rogers J, Stein N, Pozniak CJ, Choulet F, Distelfeld A, Poland J. 2018. Shifting the limits in wheat research and breeding using a fully annotated reference genome. *Science* **361**: eaar7191.
- Arora S, Steuernagel B, Chandramohan S, Long Y, Matny O, Johnson R, Enk J, Periyannan S, Hatta MAM, Athiyannan N. 2018. Resistance gene discovery and cloning by sequence capture and association genetics. *bioRxiv*: 248146.  
<https://doi.org/248110.241101/248146>.
- Avni R, Nave M, Barad O, Baruch K, Twardziok SO, Gundlach H, Hale I, Mascher M, Spannagl M, Wiebe K. 2017. Wild emmer genome architecture and diversity elucidate wheat evolution and domestication. *Science* **357**: 93-97.
- Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM, Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD. 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J Comput Biol* **19**: 455-477.
- Barbazuk WB, Fu Y, McGinnis KM. 2008. Genome-wide analyses of alternative splicing in plants: opportunities and challenges. *Genome Res* **18**: 1381–1392.
- Bhattacharya S. 2017. Deadly new wheat disease threatens Europe's crops. *Nature* **542**: 145–146.
- Briggs J, Chen S, Zhang W, Nelson S, Dubcovsky J, Rouse MN. 2015. Mapping of *SrTm4*, a recessive stem rust resistance gene from diploid wheat effective to Ug99. *Phytopathology* **105**: 1347-1354.
- Brueggeman R, Rostoks N, Kudrna D, Kilian A, Han F, Chen J, Druka A, Steffenson B, Kleinhofs A. 2002. The barley stem rust-resistance gene *Rpg1* is a novel

disease-resistance gene with homology to receptor kinases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **99**: 9328-9333.

**Chen S, Guo Y, Briggs J, Dubach F, Chao S, Zhang W, Rouse MN, Dubcovsky J. 2018a.**

Mapping and characterization of wheat stem rust resistance genes *SrTm5* and *Sr60* from *Triticum monococcum*. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **131**: 625-635.

**Chen S, Rouse MN, Zhang W, Jin Y, Akhunov E, Wei Y, Dubcovsky J. 2015.**

Fine mapping and characterization of *Sr21*, a temperature-sensitive diploid wheat resistance gene effective against the *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici* Ug99 race group. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **128**: 645-656.

**Chen S, Zhang W, Bolus S, Rouse MN, Dubcovsky J. 2018b.**

Identification and characterization of wheat stem rust resistance gene *Sr21* effective against the Ug99 race group at high temperature. *PLoS Genet* **14**: e1007287.

**Dardick C, Schwessinger B, Ronald P. 2012.**

Non-arginine-aspartate (non-RD) kinases are associated with innate immune receptors that recognize conserved microbial signatures. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* **15**: 358-366.

**Diaz A, Zikhali M, Turner AS, Isaac P, Laurie DA. 2012.**

Copy number variation affecting the *Photoperiod-B1* and *Vernalization-A1* genes is associated with altered flowering time in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *PLoS One* **7**: e33234.

**Dubcovsky J, Luo M, Dvorak J. 1995.**

Differentiation between homoeologous chromosomes 1A of wheat and 1A<sup>m</sup> of *Triticum monococcum* and its recognition by the wheat *Ph1* locus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **92**: 6645-6649.

**Dunckel SM, Olson EL, Rouse MN, Bowden RL, Poland JA. 2015.**

Genetic mapping of race-specific stem rust resistance in the synthetic hexaploid W7984 × Opata M85 mapping population. *Crop Science* **55**: 2580-2588.

**Fox SE, Geniza M, Hanumappa M, Naithani S, Sullivan C. 2014.**

*De novo* transcriptome assembly and analyses of gene expression during photomorphogenesis in diploid wheat *Triticum monococcum*. *PLoS One* **9**: e96855.

**Gerechter-Amitai Z, Wahl I, Vardi A, Zohary D. 1971.** Transfer of stem rust seedling resistance from wild diploid einkorn to tetraploid durum wheat by means of a triploid hybrid bridge. *Euphytica* **20**: 281-285.

**Halterman DA, Wei F, Wise RP. 2003.** Powdery mildew-induced *Mla* mRNAs are alternatively spliced and contain multiple upstream open reading frames. *Plant Physiol* **131**: 558-567.

**Hanks SK, Quinn AM, Hunter T. 1988.** The protein kinase family: conserved features and deduced phylogeny of the catalytic domains. *Science* **241**: 42-52.

**Jin Y, Rouse M, Groth J. 2014.** Population diversity of *Puccinia graminis* is sustained through sexual cycle on alternate hosts. *J Integr Agr* **13**: 262-264.

**Jones JD, Dangl JL. 2006.** The plant immune system. *Nature* **444**: 323.

**Jones JD, Vance RE, Dangl JL. 2016.** Intracellular innate immune surveillance devices in plants and animals. *Science* **354**: aaf6395.

**Klymiuk V, Yaniv E, Huang L, Raats D, Fatiukha A, Chen S, Feng L, Frenkel Z, Krugman T, Lidzbarsky G. 2018.** Cloning of the wheat *Yr15* resistance gene sheds light on the plant tandem kinase-pseudokinase family. *Nat Commun* **9**: 3735.

**Krattinger SG, Keller B. 2016.** Molecular genetics and evolution of disease resistance in cereals. *New Phytol* **212**: 320-332.

**Krattinger SG, Lagudah ES, Spielmeyer W, Singh RP, HuertaEspino J, McFadden H, Bossolini E, Selter LL, Keller B. 2009.** A putative ABC transporter confers durable resistance to multiple fungal pathogens in wheat. *Science* **323**: 1360-1363.

**Lamari L. 2008.** ASSESS 2.0: Image Analysis Software for Plant Disease Quantification. 2008. *St Paul, MN: Amer Phytopathological Society CrossRef Google Scholar*.

**Lewis CM, Persoons A, Bebber DP, Kigathi RN, Maintz J, Findlay K, Bueno-Sancho V, Corredor-Moreno P, Harrington SA, Kangara N. 2018.** Potential for re-emergence of wheat stem rust in the United Kingdom. *Commun Biol* **1**: 13.

**Long DL, Kolmer JA, Jin Y, Hughes ME, Wanschura LA. 2010.** Wheat rusts in the United

States in 2009. *Annual Wheat Newsletter* **56**: 245-257.

**Mago R, Zhang P, Vautrin S, Šimková H, Bansal U, Luo M-C, Rouse M, Karaoglu H, Periyannan S, Kolmer J. 2015.** The wheat *Sr50* gene reveals rich diversity at a cereal disease resistance locus. *Nat Plants* **1**: 15186.

**Mastrangelo AM, Marone D, Laidò G, De Leonardis AM, De Vita P. 2012.** Alternative splicing: enhancing ability to cope with stress via transcriptome plasticity. *Plant Sci* **185**: 40-49.

**McIntosh R, Dyck P, Cusick J, Milne D. 1984.** Cytogenetical studies in wheat XIII. *Sr35*, a third gene from *Triticum monococcum* for resistance to *Puccinia graminis tritici*. *Z Pflanzenzucht* **92**: 1-14.

**McVey D, Long D, Roberts J. 2002.** Races of *Puccinia graminis* in the United States during 1997 and 1998. *Plant Dis* **86**: 568-572.

**Moore JW, Herrera-Foessel S, Lan CX, Schnippenkoetter W, Ayliffe M, Huerta-Espino J, Lillemo M, Viccars L, Milne R, Periyannan S, et al. 2015.** A recently evolved hexose transporter variant confers resistance to multiple pathogens in wheat. *Nature Genetics* **47**: 1494-1498.

**Nazari K, Mafi M, Yahyaoui A, Singh R, Park R. 2009.** Detection of wheat stem rust (*Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici*) race TTKSK (Ug99) in Iran. *Plant Dis* **93**: 317-317.

**Olivera P, Newcomb M, Flath K, Sommerfeldt - Impe N, Szabo L, Carter M, Luster D, Jin Y. 2017.** Characterization of *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici* isolates derived from an unusual wheat stem rust outbreak in Germany in 2013. *Plant Pathol* **66**: 1258-1266.

**Olivera P, Newcomb M, Szabo LJ, Rouse M, Johnson J, Gale S, Luster DG, Hodson D, Cox JA, Burgin L. 2015.** Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of race TKTTF of *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici* that caused a wheat stem rust epidemic in southern Ethiopia in 2013–14. *Phytopathology* **105**: 917-928.

**Patpour M, Hovmøller M, Shahin A, Newcomb M, Olivera P, Jin Y, Luster D, Hodson D,**

- Nazari K, Azab M. 2016.** First report of the Ug99 race group of wheat stem rust, *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici*, in Egypt in 2014. *Plant Dis* **100**: 863-863.
- Pearce S, Vanzetti LS, Dubcovsky J. 2013.** Exogenous gibberellins induce wheat spike development under short days only in the presence of *VERNALIZATION 1*. *Plant Physiol* **163**: 1433–1445.
- Periyannan S, Moore J, Ayliffe M, Bansal U, Wang X, Huang L, Deal K, Luo MC, Kong X, Bariana H, et al. 2013.** The gene *Sr33*, an ortholog of barley *Mla* genes, encodes resistance to wheat stem rust race Ug99 *Science* **341**: 786–789.
- Rouse M, Jin Y. 2011a.** Genetics of resistance to race TTKSK of *Puccinia graminis* f. sp. *tritici* in *Triticum monococcum*. *Phytopathology* **101**: 1418-1423.
- Rouse M, Jin Y. 2011b.** Stem rust resistance in A-genome diploid relatives of wheat. *Plant Dis* **95**: 941-944.
- Saintenac C, Zhang W, Salcedo A, Rouse M, Trick H, Akhunov E, Dubcovsky J. 2013.** Identification of wheat gene *Sr35* that confers resistance to Ug99 stem rust race group. *Science* **341**: 783-786.
- Salcedo A, Rutter W, Wang S, Akhunova A, Bolus S, Chao S, Anderson N, De Soto MF, Rouse M, Szabo L. 2017.** Variation in the *AvrSr35* gene determines *Sr35* resistance against wheat stem rust race Ug99. *Science* **358**: 1604-1606.
- Sela H, Spiridon LN, Petrescu A-J, Akerman M, Mandel-Gutfreund Y, Nevo E, Loutre C, Keller B, Schulman AH, Fahima T. 2012.** Ancient diversity of splicing motifs and protein surfaces in the wild emmer wheat (*Triticum dicoccoides*) LR10 coiled coil (CC) and leucine-rich repeat (LRR) domains. *Mol Plant Pathol* **13**: 276-287.
- Singh RP, Hodson DP, Jin Y, Lagudah ES, Ayliffe MA, Bhavani S, Rouse MN, Pretorius ZA, Szabo LJ, Huerta-Espino J, et al. 2015.** Emergence and spread of new races of wheat stem rust fungus: continued threat to food security and prospects of genetic control. *Phytopathology* **105**: 872-884.
- Steinwand BJ, Kieber JJ. 2010.** The role of receptor-like kinases in regulating cell wall

function. *Plant Physiol* **153**: 479-484.

**Steuernagel B, Periyannan SK, Hernandez-Pinzon I, Witek K, Rouse MN, Yu G, Hatta A, Ayliffe M, Bariana H, Jones JD, et al. 2016.** Rapid cloning of disease-resistance genes in plants using mutagenesis and sequence capture. *Nature Biotechnology* **34**: 652-655.

**The T. 1973.** Chromosome location of genes conditioning stem rust resistance transferred from diploid to hexaploid wheat. *Nature-New Biol* **241**: 256.

**Tranquilli G, Heaton J, Chicaiza O, Dubcovsky J. 2002.** Substitutions and deletions of genes related to grain hardness in wheat and their effect on grain texture. *Crop Science* **42**: 1812-1817.

**Wang Y, Cheng X, Shan Q, Zhang Y, Liu J, Gao C, Qiu J-L. 2014.** Simultaneous editing of three homoeoalleles in hexaploid bread wheat confers heritable resistance to powdery mildew. *Nat Biotechnol* **32**: 947.

**Zang W, Eckstein PE, Colin M, Voth D, Himmelbach A, Beier S, Stein N, Scoles GJ, Beattie AD. 2015.** Fine mapping and identification of a candidate gene for the barley *Un8* true loose smut resistance gene. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **128**: 1343-1357.

**Zhang W, Chen S, Abate Z, Nirmala J, Rouse MN, Dubcovsky J. 2017.** Identification and characterization of *Sr13*, a tetraploid wheat gene that confers resistance to the Ug99 stem rust race group. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **114**: E9483–9492.

**Zhang W, Olson E, Saintenac C, Rouse M, Abate Z, Jin Y, Akhunov E, Pumphrey M, Dubcovsky J. 2010.** Genetic maps of stem rust resistance gene *Sr35* in diploid and hexaploid wheat. *Crop Science* **50**: 2464-2474.

## Supplementary Figures and Tables

**Figure S1.** Comparative analysis of the *Sr60* region.

**Figure S2.** Alternative 5' splice sites of *WTK2*.

**Figure S3.** Phylogenetic analysis of plant protein kinase domains.

**Figure S4.** Transcript levels of *Sr60* in T<sub>0</sub> plants.

**Figure S5.** Pathogen growth in genotypes Fielder and *Sr60*-transgenic plants.

**Figure S6.** Average sporulation area in transgenic families inoculated with *Pgt* race QFCSC.

**Figure S7.** Inoculation of transgenic plants with *Sr60*-virulent *Pgt* races TTTTF & MCCFC.

**Figure S8.** Transcript levels of *Sr60* in *Pgt*-inoculated and mock-inoculated plants.

**Figure S9.** Transcript levels of alternative splicing forms of *WTK2* in *Pgt*-inoculated plants.

**Figure S10.** Transcript levels of *PR* genes in *Pgt*-inoculated and mock-inoculated plants.

**Figure S11.** Protein polymorphisms among *WTK2* haplotypes.

**Figure S12.** Amplification products from the *Sr60* diagnostic PCR marker.

**Table S1.** Races of *Pgt* used to inoculate wheat and their response to *Sr60*.

**Table S2.** Sequences of primers used in the study.

**Table S3.** Key conserved residues in both KinI and KinII domains of *WTK2*.

**Table S4.** Estimated *WTK2* copy number in transgenic plants.

**Table S5.** Differences in transcript levels of *PR* genes.

**Table S6.** Infection types of *T. monococcum* accessions used for haplotyping.

**Table S7.** Wheat accessions used to test the presence of *WTK2*

**Table S8.** Markers used to determine the length of the introgressed chromosome segment.

**Table S9.** Kernel hardness of sister lines with and without the *T. monococcum* introgression.



## Figure Legends

**Fig. 1** Resistance response of *Sr60*. (a) Infection types in *Triticum monococcum* F<sub>5</sub> lines homozygous for the susceptible (TmS57-57) or the resistant (TmR57-32) *Sr60* allele in response to *Pgt* races QFCSC (isolate 06ND76C), QTHJC (isolate 75ND717C) and SCCSC (isolate 09ID73-2). Numbers listed below leaves are average pustule sizes (n = 4). (b) *Pgt* infection areas visualized by WGA-FITC staining. Leaves were collected at 5 days post inoculation (dpi), cleared with KOH and stained with WGA-FITC. Scale bars at the bottom of the four panels = 500  $\mu$ m. (c) Fungal growth estimated from the ratio of *Pgt* DNA relative to wheat DNA (n=5). TmS57-57 and TmR57-32 were selected from the cross of PI 306540  $\times$  PI 272557. \*\*\*,  $P < 0.001$ . Error bars are standard errors of the mean (SEM).

**Fig. 2** Map-based cloning of *Sr60*. (a) Colinear region in the Chinese Spring reference genome (RefSeq v1.0). Arrows indicate genes. (b) High-density genetic map of the 5A<sup>m</sup>S region containing *Sr60*. (c) Physical map of *Sr60* constructed with three overlapping BACs from the *Sr60*-resistant diploid wheat accession PI 306540. (d) Genes annotated within the 74.5 kb candidate region (GenBank accession MK629715) (e) Gene structure of *WTK2* in PI 306540 and Chinese Spring (CS). Orange rectangles indicate exons, black lines introns and dotted lines a deleted region in CS.

**Fig. 3** *WTK2* confers resistance when transferred into a susceptible wheat background. (a) Reactions to *Pgt* race QFCSC in Fielder and transgenic families T<sub>1</sub>Sr60-005 and T<sub>1</sub>Sr60-009. S, susceptible; R, resistant. Plants were grown at 25°C during the day and 22°C during the night. (b) The average pustule size was estimated using the image analysis software ASSESS v.2.0. Twelve independent T<sub>1</sub> plants from every transgenic event were evaluated. Error bars are standard errors of the mean (SEM).



**Fig. 4. Introgression of WTK2 into hexaploid wheat.** (a) Procedure for the production of *Triticum aestivum* (UC12014-36) - *T. monococcum* (PI 306540) introgression lines. Markers *DK722976F5R5* (digested with *HhaI*) and *Sr60F2R2* were used for monitoring the present of alien chromatin. (b) Markers on chromosome 5A were used to estimate the length of the introgressed chromosomal fragment from *T. monococcum* between 11.3 Mb and 21 Mb (blue color). (c) Infection types produced by sister introgression lines with and without *Sr60* (BC<sub>5</sub>F<sub>3</sub>). Plants were grown at 25°C during the day and 22°C during the night. The numbers listed on the right side of the leaves are average pustule sizes (n = 6 leaves). \*\*\*,  $P < 0.001$ .




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3




Fig. 4